

Red Spruce – Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Woodland



System: Palustrine

Subsystem: Woodland

PA Ecological Group(s): Basin Wetland

Global Rank: G2G3

State Rank: S3

General Description

These wetlands tend to be small in size, or may occur as part of a structurally diverse wetland complex. The substrate is usually sphagnum peat. Total tree cover is sparse, usually between 10% and 60% but often <40%. Red spruce (*Picea rubens*), sometimes in combination with other conifers, contributes between 25% and 75% of the canopy. Other conifer species that may occur include Eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*), eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus*), and tamarack (*Larix laricina*). The most common hardwood species are yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*), and occasionally blackgum (*Nyssa sylvatica*). The shrub layer can be dense and may include mountain holly (*Ilex mucronata*), highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum*), winterberry (*Ilex verticillata*), swamp azalea (*Rhododendron viscosum*), and witherod (*Viburnum cassinoides*). Herbaceous and creeping shrub species include goldthread (*Coptis trifolia*), cinnamon fern (*Osmunda cinnamomea*), sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), royal fern (*Osmunda regalis*), marsh fern (*Thelypteris palustris*), sedges (*Carex disperma*, *Carex folliculata*, and *Carex trisperma*), violets (*Viola* spp.), creeping snowberry (*Gaultheria hispidula*), Canada mayflower (*Maianthemum canadensis*), asters, and grasses such as slender mannagrass (*Glyceria melicaria*). The bryophyte layer is usually well developed and dominated by sphagnum.

Rank Justification

Vulnerable in the jurisdiction due to a restricted range, relatively few populations, recent and widespread declines, or other factors making it vulnerable to extirpation.

Identification

- Dominated by red spruce (*Picea rubens*), Eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*), eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus*), tamarack (*Larix laricina*), yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*), red maple (*Acer rubrum*), black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*), and occasionally blackgum (*Nyssa sylvatica*)
- Conifer tree species contributes between 25% and 75% of the canopy
- Hummock and hollow microtopography with sedges, forbs, and sphagnum and other mosses occupying the hummocks
- Canopy closure is less than 60%

Characteristic Species

Trees

- [Red spruce \(*Picea rubens*\)](#)
- [Yellow birch \(*Betula alleghaniensis*\)](#)
- [Eastern hemlock \(*Tsuga canadensis*\)](#)
- [Balsam fir \(*Abies balsamea*\)](#)
- [Red maple \(*Acer rubrum*\)](#)
- [Black ash \(*Fraxinus nigra*\)](#)

Shrubs

- [Mountain holly \(*Ilex mucronata*\)](#)
- [Highbush blueberry \(*Vaccinium corymbosum*\)](#)
- [Winterberry \(*Ilex verticillata*\)](#)
- [Maleberry \(*Lyonia ligustrina*\)](#)
- [Meadow-sweet \(*Spiraea latifolia*\)](#)

Herbs

- [Sedge \(*Carex folliculata*\)](#)
- [Dewdrop \(*Dalibarda repens*\)](#)

- [Rough aster \(*Eurybia radula*\)](#)
- [Slender mannagrass \(*Glyceria melicaria*\)](#)
- [Cinnamon fern \(*Osmunda cinnamomea*\)](#)
- [Sensitive fern \(*Onoclea sensibilis*\)](#)

Bryophytes

- [Sphagnum spp.](#)
- [Dicranum spp.](#)
- [Pleurozium schreberi](#)
- [Thuidium delicatulum](#)

International Vegetation Classification Associations:

[Red Spruce / Heath / Peatmoss Woodland Swamp](#) (CEGL006588)

NatureServe Ecological Systems:

[High Allegheny Wetland](#) (CES202.069)

[North-Central Appalachian Acidic Swamp](#) (CES202.604)

Origin of Concept

Fike, J. 1999. Terrestrial and palustrine plant communities of Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory. Harrisburg, PA. 86 pp.

Pennsylvania Community Code

WS : Red Spruce Palustrine Woodland

Similar Ecological Communities

Red Spruce – Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Woodland and Red Spruce – Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Forest are similar in species composition and occur adjacent to each other. The main distinguishing feature is that Red Spruce – Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Forest has a canopy cover greater than 60% and Red Spruce Palustrine – Mixed Hardwood Woodland has a canopy cover less than 60%.

Fike Crosswalk

Red Spruce Palustrine Woodland

Conservation Value

This community serves as nesting habitat for songbirds such as blackburnian and black-throated green warblers and wintering habitat for many other songbirds. Rare species that may occur within Red Spruce – Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Woodland include creeping snowberry (*Gaultheria hispidula*), rough-leaved aster (*Eurybia radula*), and snowshoe hare (*Lepus americanus*)

Threats

Red Spruce – Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Woodlands are threatened by habitat alteration in the watersheds they occupy, nutrient input from surrounding uplands, and alterations to the hydrologic regime (beaver dams, road crossings that impede water movement, lowering or raising of water tables). Clearing and development of adjacent land can lead to an accumulation of run-off, pollution, and sedimentation. Clearing adjacent lands can also lead to vulnerability to wind damage since the trees have shallow root systems. As global climate change progresses, this community type may recede north. Invasive exotic plant species are not likely to be a threat unless there is nutrient input from surrounding uplands. Spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana*) and exotic invasive insects that feed on conifers may be a threat.

In Pennsylvania, this community type is found in small watersheds on glacial deposits derived from sandstone and conglomerate. These wetland communities depend on low to moderate availability of nutrients, moderate surface water and ground water inputs, and probably cold temperatures. Development should be restricted to prevent alterations to the hydrologic and nutrient processes that drive this community.

Management

A natural buffer around the wetland should be maintained in order to minimize nutrient runoff, pollution, and sedimentation. Since these communities are impacted by nutrient inputs and wind-throw, a buffer between any logging operations or development and the wetland is suggested. The potential for soil erosion based on soil texture, condition of the adjacent vegetation (mature forests vs. clearcuts), and the topography of the surrounding area (i.e., degree of slope) should be considered when establishing buffers. The buffer size should be increased if soils are erodible, adjacent vegetation has been logged, and the topography is steep as such factors could contribute to increased sedimentation and nutrient pollution. Direct impacts and habitat alteration in the wetland should be avoided (e.g., roads, trails, filling of wetlands). Low-impact alternatives (e.g., elevated footpaths, boardwalks, bridges that do not impede flow) are encouraged if impacts are necessary. Where disturbances are unavoidable, the wetland should be monitored for changes in vegetation, especially invasive species. Indirect impacts such as isolation of the wetland by development from other similar wetlands may be a threat to the persistence of the type.

Research Needs


There is a need to monitor this community type to assess if potential climate change will alter the environmental conditions required for this community to persist.

Trends

Wetland protection has most likely stabilized the loss of wetlands in general. However, the relative trend for this community is likely declining in the short term due to flooding from beaver activity. If natural succession is allowed to continue and potential climate change does not influence this community, many of these flooded occurrences will recover over time.

Red Spruce – Mixed Hardwood Palustrine Woodlands may have been more common in the northeast at one time but declined due to wetland draining and filling. This type of alteration no longer occurs. However, development continues around the edges of the red spruce wetlands leading to geographic isolation. Global climate change may be the biggest threat to this community type in Pennsylvania. Red spruce (*Picea rubens*) may be under threat from spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana*) and exotic pests. If this occurs, these wetland forests will become hardwood swamps dominated by red maple.

Range Map



Pennsylvania Range

Glaciaded Northeast, Pocono Plateau, Ridge and Valley and Unglaciaded Allegheny Plateau

Global Distribution

Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Pennsylvania, Vermont, and West Virginia. It also extends into New Brunswick and Quebec in Canada,

References

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. La Roe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington, D.C. 131 pp.

Edinger, G. J., D.J. Evans, S. Gebauer, T. G. Howard, D. M. Hunt, and A. M. Olivero. 2002. Ecological Communities of New York State. Second Edition. A revised and expanded edition of Carol Reschke's Ecological Communities of New York State. New York Natural Heritage Program, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. Albany, NY. 136 pp.

Fike, J. 1999. Terrestrial and palustrine plant communities of Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory. Harrisburg, PA. 79 pp.

NatureServe. 2009. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, Virginia. USA.

Merritt, J.F. 1987. Guide to the Mammals of Pennsylvania. University of Pittsburgh Press.

Rhoads, A.F. and T.A. Block. 2007. The Plants of Pennsylvania, 2nd ed. University of Pennsylvania Press.

Thompson, E. 1996. Natural communities of Vermont uplands and wetland. Nongame and Natural Heritage Program, Department of Fish and Wildlife in cooperation with The Nature Conservancy, Vermont chapter.

Wenger, S. 1999. A Review of the Scientific Literature on Riparian Buffer Width, Extent and Vegetation. Office of Public Outreach, Institute of Ecology, Univ. of Georgia, Athens.

Stone, B., D. Gustafson, and B. Jones. 2006 (revised). Manual of Procedure for State Game Land Cover Typing. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Game Commission, Bureau of Wildlife Habitat Management, Forest Inventory and Analysis Section, Forestry Division. Harrisburg, PA. 79 ppg.

Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR). 1999. Inventory Manual of Procedure. For the Fourth State Forest Management Plan. Pennsylvania Bureau of Forestry, Division of Forest Advisory Service. Harrisburg, PA. 51 ppg.